Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Functional Materials and Civil Engineering
DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36949

A Review of NASA X-59's Low-Boom Design and Its Implications for Commercial Supersonic Aviation

Chengchao Xu

Hangzhou New Channel School, Hangzhou, China
super_558@qq.com

Abstract. Traditional supersonic aircraft can reduce flight time significantly. But their sonic boom is limited when they fly over land . NASA's X-59, developed under the Quesst mission, represents a new attempt to solve this problem with a quite supersonic design. A literature-based analysis is used to review official technical reports, mission documents, and regulatory materials, which this discussion focuses on three areas; the aircraft's low-boom aerodynamic design, the engineering trade-offs and the role of public acceptance and noise regulation. However, in terms of experimental aircraft, the designs of aspects have significant project trade-offs such as cockpit visibility, fuselage sized and passenger capacity. Due to those factors, it is not able to transfer commercial aircraft directly. And another important point is whether future supersonic aircraft can achieve normal flight over land. It not only depends on availability of technical, but also the public acceptance for the systemic proving is receivable. This paper indicates that X-59 is not simply as faster aircraft, but as an experimental platform for testing whether supersonic flight can become technically practical and socially acceptable. In order to future supersonic airlines transports provide vital technology and regulatory references.

Keywords: NASA X-59, low-boom design, sonic boom, commercial supersonic aviation, noise regulation

1. Introduction

Supersonic aircraft have had a long-term problem: people want to travel faster,but as aircraft technology advanced, this also brought new problems, especially the issue of sonic boom [1]. In the past, supersonic aircraft, such as Concorde proved that the speed of supersonic aircraft is reasonable and efficient. However, there was no real solution to the overland low-boom issues. So Previous studies on supersonic transport have focused not only on speed and aerodynamic efficiency, but also no noise reduction, shockwave control, and environmental acceptability. The NASA's X-59, developed as part of the Quesst mission, provides a useful case for studying this problem as it is designed to reduce the loud sonic boom and collect community response data [1].

The paper introduces the X-59 from three perspectives. First, it explains how the X-59 accomplishes low-boom design, which includes its long nose, slender fuselage, and shockwave-management configuration, aiming to reduce ground noise [2]. Second, it discusses the engineering constraints and design trade-offs of the X-59 as an experimental aircraft rather than a commercial

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36949

passenger plane. Third, it considers how public commitment and regulation may affect the future of overland supersonic flight. This paper uses a literature-based analysis method. Through studying the X-59 as a design case, the paper argues that future supersonic aircraft solve not only the aerodynamic problems, but also social and regulatory challenges.

2. The sonic boom problem: background and context

When an aircraft travels faster than the speed of sound, the pressure disturbances generated by its movement can no longer move ahead of the aircraft. Instead, these pressure waves combine to form shock waves. When the shock waves reach the ground, they produce a sudden change in pressure that is perceived as a sonic boom.

Although sonic boom does not necessarily cause serious physical damage, its sudden and repetitive nature can disturb communities living below the flight path. This has been a primary reason for the continued restriction of routine civil supersonic flight over land. Therefore, reducing sonic boom is not only an aerodynamic challenge but also a necessary condition for the future development of civil supersonic transport.

3. Low-boom aerodynamic design of the X-59

3.1. The long nose and slender fuselage for shockwave control

One of the most distinctive features of the X-59 is its extremely long and slender nose. This feature is designed not for appearance, but for controlling the shock waves produced during supersonic flight. In conventional aircraft, the shock waves generated by different parts of the aircraft can combine and result in a strong pressure rise. The long nose of the X-59 helps spread the pressure change over a greater distance, so the shock waves do not merge as suddenly. Its slender fuselage also is able to distribute the pressure around the aircraft, allowing for a more gradual pressure change. As a result, the sound reaching the ground is expected to be a softer sonic thump rather than the traditional loud boom made by supersonic aircraft. The aim of this design is not to totally eliminate shock waves completely, because shock waves are inevitable during supersonic aircraft flight [2].

3.2. Synergistic effects of the overall aerodynamic configuration

The Low-boom performance is not only depends on long nose alone, its effect is relying on the overall aerodynamic layout of X-59. One important component is swept-back wings, which help reduce drag during high-speed flight while providing the lift required for stable operation. And another vital feature is the top-mounted engine. By placing the engine above the fuselage, some of the noise and pressure can be directed away from the ground. As well as the smooth underside also helps prevent shock waves from merging into a stronger pressure wave. The tail surfaces must also provide enough stability and control the additional shock waves created. These parts cannot be designed independently because changing one component may affect lift, drag, stability and the final pressure signature. Therefore, the X-59 should be understood as a whole aerodynamic system rather thana collection of separate special features [2].

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36949

4. Engineering trade-offs: from research demonstrator to commercial aircraft

4.1. Distinct roles: research demonstrator vs. commercial aircraft

Although the integrated aerodynamic layout of the X-59 is elaborately designed for low-boom flight, the aircraft is still a research demonstrator rather than a commercial aircraft. Its main purpose is to test low-boom technology and collect data from the sound produced during supersonic flight. It is also to help researchers understand some of the feedback from communities who respond to the quieter sonic thump [1]. In contrast, a commercial aircraft must consider lots of factors such as passenger capacity, comfort, fuel efficiency, operating costs and airport compatibility. For an experimental aircraft, the X-59 can tolerate some of the limitations that would be hard for a commercial aircraft to accept. Its narrow fuselage, limited internal space and specialized cockpit systems are suitable for research, but would not directly meet the needs of regular passenger service.

Therefore, the success of the X-59 can prove the availability of low-boom flight, but it does not mean that a commercial supersonic aircraft is ready. Technical success does not automatically lead to commercial viability.

4.2. Key engineering trade-offs of the low-boom configuration

When considering the engineering trade-offs of the X-59, the difference between a research demonstrator and a commercial aircraft is clearer. The low-boom configuration improves acoustic performance, but it also creates several engineering barriers.

The long nose is the best example; while this shape helps control the forward shock wave, it also causes the cockpit to lack a normal forward-facing window. So NASA developed the External Vision System, which provides a forward view for the pilot using cameras and cockpit displays [3]. Although this solution ensures a safe view, it also adds technical complexity and requires careful testing. A second trade-off concerns the aircraft's slender shape.

This shape is suitable for controlling the pressure changes around the aircraft. However, a future passenger aircraft would require more cabin space, fuel capacity and structural strength. Enlarging the fuselage could change the pressure distribution and reduce the aircraft's low-boom performance [2]. So the acoustic improvement cannot be considered separately from visibility, aircraft size, system complexity and operational need. However, whether the same low-boom structure can be used in larger commercial aircraft remains an open question.

4.3. Scaling challenges: from X-59 to full-size commercial supersonic transport

The engineering trade-offs discussed above become more significant when the X-59's low-boom principles are considered for a full-size passenger aircraft. Enlarging this design would not simply make every component larger. The commercial supersonic aircraft would need a wider cabin, greater fuel capacity, stronger structures and greater total engine thrust. These changes can keep passengers safe over long distances. However increasing the size and weight may change the pressure distribution around the aircraft. This could produce stronger shock waves or change the way in which they interact before reaching the ground. So designers would need to reshape the entire aircraft rather than directly copy the X-59. The commercial success also has to depend on other factors. Airlines also need to consider passenger capacity, fuel efficiency, maintenance cost, ticket price and airport compatibility. A quiet aircraft that is too expensive to operate would have limited commercial value. For this reason, the X-59 should be viewed as a source of useful design sample

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36949

rather than a readymade commercial aircraft. Its research may support future supersonic airliners. However, there are many factors that need to be addressed, such as noise reduction, safety, efficiency, and economic practicality.

5. Public acceptance and community response testing

In addition to the technical and commercial challenges discussed above, the future supersonic flight will also depend on public acceptance. Engineers can measure sounds levels and pressure change, but numerical results can not show whether a sound is acceptable to the public in daily life. Some of public response may vary according to the loudness, the number of overflights, local conditions and individual sensitivity. A quiet sonic thump may seem acceptable during a single test, but repeated flights could become disturbing. For this reason, NASA plans to fly the X-59 over selected communities and ask residents how they feel about this quieter sonic thump. The survey results will be compared with acoustic measurements and be provide to regulators who consider future noise standards. Therefore the key issue is not only whether X-59 is quieter than traditional supersonic aircraft, but also whether its sound is quiet enough for regular operation over community areas. So public acceptance must be measured systematically rather than depending solely on acoustic performance.

Because public acceptance can not be inferred from acoustic measurements alone, NASA's Quessthas established a dedicated phase of community response testing [4]. However this phase can take place only after the aircraft completes its performance testing and acoustic validation. NASA must first confirm that the aircraft is safe and that its acoustic signature matches the low-boom design.

After this validation, NASA plans to fly the X-59 over several communities in the United States and ask people who are selected to report how they feel about the quieter thumps [4]. Then the results of the survey need to be compared with measured sound exposure to examine the relationship between acoustic level and human reaction.

Repeated overflights are also important, because people's reactions to a single isolated sound may differ from their reactions to regular exposure over several weeks.Then NASA will provide the results to national and international regulators. The data may support their consideration of future standards for supersonic flight over land [1].

6. Regulatory implications and future standards

The feedback of community is important because aviation rules decide whether quiet supersonic aircraft can travel over land. Now, the FAA is working toward a certification about noise-based system, which could replace old speed-based restriction [5]. The X-59 does not change these rules, but NASA's role is to collect flight measurements and community feedback and then they provide the results to U.S. and international regulators [1]. This evidence can help regulators determine to what extent of sound is acceptable.Future rules are not only to focus on whether aircraft are supersonic, but also on how much noise actually reaches the ground. Although the tests are successful, this alone cannot ensure that commercial supersonic aircraft would be allowed. International agreement is another challenge [6]. ICAO has adopted new laws that allow future supersonic aircraft starting in 2029, while work on sonic boom certification is not yet complete. If countries use different measurements or noise limits, international route may be more difficult to approve [6]. Therefore, the broader value of the X-59 is to provide a future standard for supersonic aircraft, rather than to remove some of the existing restrictions on it [5, 6].

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36949

7. Conclusion

This paper has examined three factors for NASA's X-59, low-design, engineering trade-off as well as community and regulatory impact. Its long nose slender fuselage and aerodynamic show how aircraft shape can reduce the shock wave and pressure reaching the ground. But x-59 still is a research aircraft, its some of the designs can not be used in commercial aircraft as size, cost, safety and so on. So the study can shows that only have technical improvements is not enough. Pubic feedbacks and rules of noise rules also will affect whether quiet supersonic aircraft can be a practical over land. Public acceptance also needs to be considered because sound measurements alone cannot decide whether the quieter thump is acceptable. Community overflights and resident surveys are needed to understand people's reactions. The regulatory part shows that NASA can provide test data, but FAA and international regulators will decide future noise standards. However, this paper has limitations. The X-59 is still in flight testing, and its community response tests have not been completed. So the discussion of public acceptance is mainly based on NASA's research plan rather than actual data. Future research can use survey results and acoustic measurements to examine the relationship between low-boom design and public acceptance.

References

[1] National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (2026). Quesst: The mission. *NASA*. https://www.nasa.gov/quesst-the-mission/
[2] National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (n.d.). How is the X-59 designed to quiet the boom? *NASA*. https://www3.nasa.gov/specials/Quesst/how-x59-designed.html
[3] Bailey, R. E. (2022). X-59 eXternal Vision System technical overview [Presentation]. *NASA Technical Reports Server*. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/20220006188
[4] Rathsam, J., Coen, P., Loubeau, A., Ozoroski, L., & Shah, G. (2023). Scope and goals of NASA's Quesst community test campaign with the X-59 aircraft [Conference paper]. *NASA Technical Reports Server*. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/20230004142
[5] Federal Aviation Administration. (2026, July 9). Supersonic flight. https://www.faa.gov/supersonic
[6] International Civil Aviation Organization. (2026). Supersonic aircraft noise standards development. https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/supersonic-aircraft-noise-standards-development