Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Machine Learning and Automation
DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

Adaptive Filtering Techniques in Next Generation Communication Systems: Algorithms, Challenges, and Future Directions

Zhaoyin Yang

Faculty of Data Science, City University of Macau, Macau, China
D23090100401@cityu.edu.mo

Abstract. With the rapid development of 5G and future 6G communication systems, the time-varying, wireless channels are becoming increasingly affected by time-varying characteristics, multipath propagation, and electromagnetic interference, creating significant challenges for signal processing. Adaptive filtering has emerged as an effective solution because it can automatically adjust filter parameters according to changes in signal statistics and channel conditions. This paper reviews the fundamental principles, key algorithms, and major applications of adaptive filtering in next-generation communication systems. Firstly, the basic structure and optimization principle of adaptive filtering are introduced. Two classical algorithms, namely least mean square (LMS) and recursive least squares (RLS) algorithms, are then discussed and compared in terms of convergence speed, computational complexity, and steady-state performance. Subsequently, typical applications of adaptive filtering, including channel equalization, echo cancellation, and adaptive beamforming, are discussed. What's more, current challenges such as performance degradation in non-stationary noise environments and the trade-off between computational complexity and filtering accuracy are analyzed. To address these issues, recent improvements based on robust statistics and nonlinear models are reviewed. The conclusion is clear and powerful: the LMS algorithm is suitable for real-time systems because of its low complexity, while the RLS algorithm has better performance in situations where high accuracy is required. Therefore, this paper not only provides an excellent introduction to adaptive filtering theory but also points out the direction for the development of intelligent signal processing technology in the next generation communication system.

Keywords: Adaptive filtering, LMS algorithm, RLS algorithm, Channel equalization, Beamforming

1. Introduction

With the large-scale deployment of 5G and the development of 6G communication technology, wireless communication systems face increasing demands for signal processing capabilities. The wireless channel exhibits significant time-varying characteristics: user movement and environmental changes cause instantaneous channel state variations, leading to signal fading [1]. The multipath

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

effect further complicates this issue. When the receiver captures signal copies from different paths, time-domain superposition or cancellation occurs, resulting in intersymbol interference (ISI). Combined with noise interference in complex electromagnetic environments, signal quality is severely affected [2]. Traditional fixed-parameter filters cannot adequately address these challenges. Adaptive filtering technology, which adjusts filter coefficients in real-time based on input signal statistics, has become a powerful solution.

This paper systematically reviews the theoretical basis, main algorithms, and typical applications of adaptive filtering in modern communication systems. First, the working mechanism of adaptive filtering in complex time-varying environments is analyzed. Then, two classical algorithms—Least Mean Square (LMS) and Recursive Least Squares (RLS)—are examined in detail, with comparisons of their convergence speed, steady-state error, and computational complexity [3]. Subsequently, specific implementations in typical scenarios such as channel equalization, echo cancellation, and adaptive beamforming are discussed. Furthermore, an improved scheme combining robust statistics and nonlinear models is proposed to address the lack of robustness in non-stationary noise environments and the trade-off between computational complexity and performance [4].

2. Basic theory of adaptive filtering

2.1. Structure of adaptive filter

An adaptive filtering system can be divided into two fundamental parts: the filter structure and the adaptive algorithm. In most cases, the filter structure uses a finite impulse response (FIR) filter, which is based on the theory of linear time-invariant systems, and the output signal is obtained by a weighted sum of delayed input samples [1]. FIR filter has two outstanding advantages: good stability and ease of hardware implementation, making them an ideal choice in adaptive filtering systems.

The adaptive algorithm is the core control module of the system. It automatically adjusts the filter coefficients according to the error signal, which is obtained from the difference between the desired signal and the filter output. The error information is then used to update the filter coefficients, enabling the filter to continuously improve its approximation of the desired signal [5]. Furthermore, the feedback structure enhances the robustness of the system and enables it to adapt effectively to time-varying communication environments.

The overall performance of an adaptive filter depends on the combination of the filter structure and the adaptive algorithm. The filter structure provides the signal-processing framework, while the adaptive algorithm determines how efficiently the filter responds to environmental changes. Their coordinated operation forms the foundation of adaptive filtering and supports its wide application in modern signal-processing systems.

2.2. Adaptation as an optimization process

The adaptive filtering process can be viewed as an optimization problem whose objective is to minimize a predefined cost function and obtain the best estimate of the input signal. The most commonly used cost function is the mean square error (MSE), defined as the expected value of the squared difference between the filter output and the expected signal [2].

The adaptation process generally consists of four steps: clear filter processing, error calculation, coefficient updating, and loop iteration. First, the input signal is processed by the FIR filter to obtain the filtered output. Second, the filter output is compared with the desired signal to obtain the error

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

signal, which reflects the discrepancy between the current filter response and the optimal solution [6].

During the coefficient update stage, the adaptive algorithm adjusts the filter coefficients based on the error signal with the objective of minimizing the MSE. For gradient-based algorithms, such as the LMS algorithm, the filter weights are updated iteratively along the negative gradient direction until convergence is achieved. Finally, the entire process is repeated continuously, enabling the filter to maintain satisfactory performance under dynamic operating conditions.

More importantly, adaptive filtering is inherently a real-time optimization process. Since the statistical characteristics of input signals may vary over time, the filter must continuously update its parameters to maintain tracking performance and estimation accuracy. This capability makes adaptive filtering particularly suitable for non-stationary signal-processing applications.

2.3. Performance metrics

The performance of adaptive filters is commonly evaluated using four key metrics: convergence speed, steady-state error, tracking ability, and computational complexity. These metrics are closely related and collectively determine the practical effectiveness of the filter.

Convergence speed refers to the rate at which the filter reaches its steady state from an initial condition. It is strongly influenced by the step size and the statistical properties of the input signal. A larger step size factor is beneficial to accelerate the convergence, but it is also easy to cause system instability. On the contrary, a smaller step size factor is stable, but it will greatly increase the convergence time [7].

Steady-state error represents the residual mean square error after convergence and reflects the accuracy of the filter in approximating the input signal: the smaller the steady-state error, the better the filter's accuracy in recovering the original signal. However, there is generally a clear trade-off between steady-state error and algorithm complexity. For example, the RLS algorithm can achieve a very low steady-state error, but its higher computational complexity increases implementation requirements [8].

Tracking ability describes the ability of the filter to follow changes in time-varying system parameters and operating conditions, so it has great application value in non-stationary environments. In a natural connection with this formulation, computational complexity is the most direct and important index to evaluate the implementation difficulty of the algorithm, which has a significant impact on the hardware implementation cost and real-time performance of the filter [7].

3. Key algorithms: LMS vs RLS

3.1. LMS algorithm: derivation and implementation

The LMS algorithm is an adaptive filtering algorithm based on the steepest descent method. It iteratively updates the filter coefficients to minimize the MSE. What's more, the LMS algorithm takes the instantaneous error gradient as a reasonable and natural estimate of the true mean square error gradient, thus avoiding the complex matrix operations. As a result, the updating formula of the weight coefficients in the LMS algorithm can be expressed very concisely.

From the paper, it can be seen very clearly and hierarchically that w is the weight coefficient vector used in the first iteration, μ is the step size factor, which is used to control the convergence speed and stability of the algorithm, $e(n)$ is the error signal, that is, the difference between the expected signal and the actual output, and $x(n)$ is the input signal vector [3]. More importantly, the

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

choice of the step length factor has clear and natural trade-offs: too much divergence and it will be too small and will make the number of iterations increases greatly.

$$w(n+1)=w(n)+\mu e(n)x(n) \tag{1}$$

The LMS algorithm has only two basic operations: vector multiplication and addition, so its computational complexity is linear to the filter order. Therefore, the LMS algorithm is naturally an ideal choice for speech enhancement and echo cancellation problems in real-time systems [9]. However, the LMS algorithm also has clear and important shortcomings: it is extremely sensitive to the correlation of input signals, the convergence rate will decrease sharply when the input signals are highly correlated, and there must be some misalignment error in its steady state.

3.2. RLS algorithm: derivation and implementation

Because the RLS algorithm is an adaptive filtering algorithm based on the least squares criterion, it is natural to design the update rules of the weight coefficients from the perspective of minimizing the sum of all the past data weighted square errors. The most obvious contrast with this is the LMS algorithm. $P(n)K(n)$ RLS algorithm with a covariance matrix and the corresponding gain vector to update the weight coefficient. Therefore, the core updating formula of the RLS algorithm can be naturally and properly decomposed into several steps.

Because the forgetting factor is discussed in this paper, it is very natural and appropriate to explain its role: that is, to control the degree of influence of historical data on the current estimate, so the smaller the value is, the better the algorithm for time-varying system tracking, but the more susceptible to noise interference [4]. It is also susceptible to noise.

$$K(n)=\frac{P(n-1)x(n)}{\lambda+x^{T}(n)P(n-1)x(n)} \tag{2}$$

$$w(n)=w(n-1)+K(n)e(n) \tag{3}$$

$$w(n)=w(n-1)+K(n)e(n)P(n)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left[P(n-1)-K(n)x^{T}(n)P(n-1)\right] \tag{4}$$

The most prominent and important advantages of the RLS algorithm are fast convergence speed and small steady-state error. The reason is that the RLS algorithm makes full use of all the information of historical data, so it performs well in the case of strong correlation of input signals and naturally becomes an ideal choice for high-precision estimation problems in channel equalization and array signal processing [7]. $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ But needless to say, the RLS algorithm's computational complexity is higher, as it is directly applied to the real-time system when they meet with difficulties, especially when dealing with high-dimensional data, the calculation burden of the RLS algorithm easily becomes the bottleneck of the system. For this reason, several fast RLS algorithms have been proposed in the academic community, which are represented by the approximate calculation method based on matrix factorization. The purpose is to reduce the computational complexity and improve the real-time performance [10].

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

3.3. Comparative analysis

From the perspective of convergence speed, it can be seen very clearly and hierarchically that the RLS algorithm is better than the LMS algorithm: $O(N^2)O(N)$. Because the RLS algorithm minimizes the sum of the squared errors of all the past data, it can reach the steady state with fewer iterations. In contrast, the LMS algorithm uses the estimation of the instantaneous error gradient to update the weights, so its convergence speed is directly and significantly affected by the step size factor and the correlation of the input signal [5].

The RLS algorithm in the steady-state error has very clear, definite advantages: because the RLS algorithm makes full use of the information of all the past data, in general its steady-state error is lower than the LMS algorithm. However, it goes without saying that the LMS algorithm has a certain misadjustment error in the steady state, so it may be affected in high-precision applications [10]. In addition to this, the LMS algorithm is extremely robust and ADAPTS well to non-stationary noise environments, and its simple structure is not sensitive to noise. On the contrary, the RLS algorithm is more sensitive to noise, and its performance deteriorates significantly in a low SNR regime.

4. Application in communication system

4.1. Channel equalization

Multipath propagation is one of the primary causes of signal degradation in wireless communication systems. Different propagation delays and attenuations introduce intersymbol interference (ISI), which increases the bit error rate and limits transmission performance [1].

The basic principle of the adaptive equalizer is very clear and definite: the received signal is inversely filtered by the filter coefficients adjusted in real time, so the distortion introduced by the channel can be naturally and reasonably cancelled out. Therefore, the adaptive equalizer generally adopts the finite impulse response (FIR) filter structure. In the training phase, the known pilot symbols are used to optimize the filter coefficients. In the data transmission phase, the coefficients are dynamically adjusted according to the difference between the received signal and the reference signal so as to directly and reliably adapt to the time-varying characteristics of the channel and therefore to suppress the intersymbol interference very well [7].

4.2. Echo and noise cancellation

Since the impact of echo and noise on communication quality in hands-free communication and industrial environments is very obvious, it can be explained naturally and properly: Echo is generally caused by the microphone re-pickup after the sound played by the speaker, and the noise comes from the environmental interference, the electronic noise of the equipment itself, and a variety of irrelevant signal sources, so both will reduce the clarity of speech communication but also easily cause system misjudgment [2].

Because the problems discussed can be well solved by adaptive filtering technology, this paper makes a very clear and hierarchical explanation of the basic principle of the adaptive filtering method in echo and noise cancellation: that is, the reference input signal is used to make the adaptive filter generate a signal strictly opposite to the target signal to achieve the purpose of cancellation. In contrast to this, in noise cancellation, the adaptive filter estimates and generates the

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

inverse signal according to the statistical characteristics of the noise signal and then extracts the target signal from the mixed signal.

5. Future directions

5.1. Algorithm improvement and innovation

Because of the rapid development of machine learning and deep learning in recent years, the combination of artificial intelligence and adaptive filtering has a very natural and clear new direction: using reinforcement learning to optimize the adaptive filter parameter update rules so that the algorithm can automatically learn the optimal policy in the unknown environment so as to directly and reasonably improve the robustness and generalization ability [5]. In addition, the generative adversarial network (GAN) has been successfully applied to noise prediction and channel modeling. With the help of the adversarial training of the generator and discriminator, the distribution characteristics of complex noise can be very accurately estimated, and therefore more reliable and useful prior information for adaptive filtering is also given [6]. However, there is no denying that the practical application of such methods is still limited by the difficulty of obtaining training data, the difficulty of controlling model complexity, and the limitation of hardware resources. Therefore, future research should systematically and absolutely explore their efficient implementation from the perspective of actual communication systems.

5.2. Interdisciplinary application expansion

Adaptive filtering technology has a very natural, clear, and potential application direction in the fields of Internet of Things, intelligent transportation, and industrial Internet: Sensor networks in the Internet of Things are generally deployed in complex environments, and signal transmission is inevitably affected by multipath effects and external noise interference. Therefore, adaptive filtering technology can be used in intelligent transportation to efficiently process multi-source heterogeneous data collected by vehicle radar and camera, accurately estimate target trajectory from noise, and effectively improve the safety of autonomous driving systems [8].

Adaptive filtering technology has a very natural and clearly important use in the industrial Internet: vibration signals collected by mechanical equipment running on the production line are generally mixed with strong background noise, so it is difficult to diagnose equipment faults. Therefore, the adaptive filtering algorithm based on a nonlinear model can separate the noise components well and extract the weak fault features reliably and fully so as to achieve early fault warning and predictive maintenance [2]. But needless to say, the promotion of such interdisciplinary application also had some serious problems, namely, different areas have different requirements for real-time accuracy, hardware resources are limited, and the algorithm itself lacks good extensibility [8]. Therefore, in the future, it is necessary to design targeted and effective adaptive filtering schemes from the specific needs of various fields so as to truly make it take root in more scenes.

6. Conclusions

Adaptive filtering technology is a very powerful and mature tool to deal with time-varying, multipath effect and complex electromagnetic interference of wireless channel in modern communication system. Therefore, starting from the theoretical basis, this paper introduces the working principle and optimization process of adaptive filter in a natural and hierarchical way. The

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

essence of adjusting the parameters dynamically by minimizing the cost function (mean square error) is clearly explained. On this basis, the LMS algorithm and RLS algorithm are strictly and smoothly derived, and the core mechanism and performance difference between them are clarified. LMS algorithm becomes the first choice for real-time systems because of its very low computational complexity, while RLS algorithm has irreplaceable advantages in the occasions with high accuracy requirements. What's more, this paper discusses three typical applications of adaptive filtering technology in communication system: channel equalization, echo and noise cancellation, adaptive beamforming, and demonstrates how to improve the signal quality, increase the system capacity, and enhance the ability of anti-jamming.

From the current development trend, the main research directions of adaptive filtering technology in the future can be summarized naturally and hierarchically: The first is to design an optimization algorithm that meets the requirements of ultra-reliable Low-latency communication (URLLC), so as to meet the urgent needs of industrial automation and intelligent transportation for extremely low latency and high reliability. The second is to find a new method for end-to-end adaptive filter design, that is, jointly optimize the filter parameters of the transmitter and receiver to effectively improve the system performance. The third is to combine emerging communication technologies (5G-Advanced and 6G) with adaptive filtering technology, systematically and rigorously investigate its application in new scenarios of terahertz communication and intelligent metasurface. The fourth is to actively and systematically expand interdisciplinary application, and develop new adaptive filtering algorithms in the fields of Internet of things, industrial Internet, and intelligent medical care. To well meet the specific needs of different application scenarios. Therefore, the direction to each other, complement each other, is advantageous to the real innovation of the adaptive filter technology, and intelligent, and high-effectiveness of communication system for the future lay a solid foundation.

References

[1] Li, Y. Y., Yuan, Y. C., Ruan, L. H., et al. (2024). A microscopic image enhancement method for space cell experiments. *Journal of Infrared and Millimeter Waves, 43*(2), 288-294. https://kns.cnki.net/kcms2/article/abstract?v=olK-MAP09vcKCwhQ-VoGuyZo2rhz4vzwA-Xij-RpgTjghdaIwFsKXFpJ6aUz8UsSYiqZyd6GmiiV6IwkrEWiTppAxQlMOtoDE6-9DPhJE2OfEPd0vb0n_Y1Tg6k_4m8rhHs9OvSCq3moESHrVtP6cFY8iD21y384YD4P37H3ZLp3FZClc8Ym_Q==&uniplatform=NZKPT&language=CHS

[2] Liu, Y., Li, M. M., Zheng, Q. B., et al. (2022). Review of video object tracking algorithms. *Journal of Computer Science and Exploration, 16*(7), 1504-1515. https://link.cnki.net/urlid/11.5602.TP.20220125.1807.002

[3] Pan, L., Liu, K., Zhang, Y. H. (2024). Multi-target vital sign signal detection based on FMCW radar. *Acta Metrologica Sinica, 45*(1), 112-120. https://link.cnki.net/urlid/11.1864.TB.20240123.1019.010

[4] Liu, B., Sun, Z. X., Lü, Z. Y., et al. Research on internet situation awareness platform for smart transportation. *Journal of Hebei University of Science and Technology, 43*(6), 651-660. https://kns.cnki.net/kcms2/article/abstract?v=olK-MAP09veeo_QzR8rpiAixBZjLCVJNDfYph5eVFY8B6v_5XvE4fSAJMSWFVNbLT-GYR7Vv7cMHon1t7FozCoKsHcLX7z7fGHPrVSpJIdpsrPq6DmGlSDJf596GNHMbRWHxTQHgCJeoaN5ERrgtVcGuDvLP36rlmoa9mod0xHaPc34G3x2NjA==&uniplatform=NZKPT&language=CHS

[5] Zhang, Z. J., Song, R., Jiang, L. L., et al. (2024). Verification of data demodulation method for optical Doppler differential velocimeter. *Infrared and Laser Engineering, 53*(4), 276-280. https://kns.cnki.net/kcms2/article/abstract?v=olK-MAP09vdArxOl3xPlgvWZGeTwZRz_0-YtRXjXgt5WCCRow0RNzp2f9YfZIKlVS0lm_hkB3cWPLICVeaK2qW1XIj1ms89-mENNG9fNAZGqg7BSra-SOZjpoQ-1bqz46JgfghsqK9HobMdXeZoLdiYQ2f1jAnlxaWNDBu2sIxPj6rB6xanSng==&uniplatform=NZKPT&language=CHS

[6] Zhang, X. Y., Chen, K. Y., & Wu, X. B. (2022). Simplified fractional-order AEPF algorithm for lithium battery SOC estimation. *Power Source Technology, 46*(10), 1156-1160. https://kns.cnki.net/kcms2/article/abstract?v=olK-

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.36585

MAP09ve-5Byad6BjhoFoUE08MjnfyUNds_8WmkCX-e60H1weJQ9d-LeyERY__JqaFI8RaYa9s3FHuDQ3ZRBv7VcUUa4oux7WjqY8LjNqDeCABPz-H6cj5GjBe0gvFTUIQwQcS67s9FWXaEUbceFqZoXC08TifrzfWFrhuXbNQNtCdi7jvQ==&uniplatform=NZKPT&language=CHS

[7] Huo, Y. L., Lian, P. J., Long, X. Q., & Wang, D. F. (2021). A new convex combination adaptive filtering algorithm and its performance analysis. *Journal of Northwest Normal University (Natural Science), 57*(6), 57-62. https://link.cnki.net/doi/10.16783/j.cnki.nwnuz.2021.06.010

[8] Wang, S., Xiang, J. J., Peng, F., & Xiao, B. S. (2021). Application of a novel steepest descent algorithm in adaptive noise cancellation. *Journal of Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 47*(7), 1462-1469. https://link.cnki.net/doi/10.13700/j.bh.1001-5965.2020.0218

[9] Sun, X., Yuan, L., & Zhang, J. J. (2022). Spatial regularized background-aware filter tracking algorithm based on mutual evaluation. *Modular Machine Tool & Automatic Manufacturing Technique*, (10), 27-31. https://link.cnki.net/doi/10.13462/j.cnki.mmtamt.2022.10.006

[10] Shan, F., He, X. Z., Armaghani, D. J., & Sheng, D. C. (2024). Effects of data smoothing and recurrent neural network (RNN) algorithms for real-time forecasting of tunnel boring machine (TBM) performance. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 16*(5), 1538-1551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrmge.2023.06.015