Proceedings of CONF-FMCE 2025 Symposium: Semantic Communication for Media Compression and Transmission
DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2025.GL28724

Graphene Field-Effect Transistors and Silicon Nanowire FETs: A Comparative Review of Emerging Nanoelectronic Platforms

Yunzhe Huang

Case School of Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, USA
yxh1312@case.edu

Abstract. The rapid evolution of nanoelectronics has prompted intense exploration of novel field-effect transistor (FET) technologies to overcome the physical limitations of traditional silicon-based MOSFETs. Among the many contenders, Graphene Field-Effect Transistors (GFETs) and Silicon Nanowire Field-Effect Transistors (SiNW-FETs) stand out due to their distinctive material properties, promising performance, and application versatility. In this comparative study, I analyze two state-of-the-art FET technologies, evaluating their device architecture, operational mechanisms, electrical characteristics, fabrication methodologies, and application-specific suitability in areas ranging from logic gates to biosensors. While GFETs offer exceptional carrier mobility and surface sensitivity ideal for high-frequency and sensing applications, their lack of an intrinsic bandgap limits their effectiveness in digital logic. Conversely, SiNW-FETs benefit from superior electrostatic control, scalable architectures, and compatibility with CMOS fabrication, making them strong candidates for low-power and high-density integration. The comparison highlights both platforms' complementary advantages and remaining challenges, providing a perspective on their respective paths toward future commercialization and hybrid integration.

Keywords: Graphene FETs, Silicon Nanowire FETs, Nanoelectronics, CMOS Compatibility, Biosensing Applications

1. Introduction

The relentless demand for faster, smaller, and more energy-efficient devices has driven innovation in transistor technologies beyond the conventional silicon MOSFET. Graphene Field-Effect Transistors (GFETs) and Silicon Nanowire Field-Effect Transistors (SiNW-FETs) are the most promising candidates. Both devices exploit unique structural and material properties to address scaling challenges and open new application domains. With its exceptional carrier mobility and atomic thinness, graphene provides remarkable opportunities for high-frequency and biosensing applications [1, 2]. In contrast, SiNW-FETs leverage the established silicon platform while achieving enhanced electrostatic control and a high surface-to-volume ratio, which is particularly advantageous for biochemical sensing.

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2025.GL28724

GFETs have been extensively studied for their zero-bandgap structure and linear energy dispersion, allowing ambipolar transport and ultrafast carrier dynamics [1]. Although this facilitates ballistic transport and elevated mobility, it concomitantly engenders a suboptimal current on/off ratio, thereby constraining their applicability in digital logic circuits [2]. Recent research has focused on overcoming these drawbacks through structural engineering, dielectric innovation, and chemical functionalization, which can enhance switching behavior and improve sensing selectivity [3-5].

On the other hand, SiNW-FETs extend traditional silicon transistors into the nanoscale regime by employing quasi-one-dimensional nanowire channels. The device architecture affords enhanced gate modulation, mitigates short-channel phenomena, and augments susceptibility to fluctuations in surface charge [6].High-k dielectrics and gate-all-around architectures enhance electrostatic control, facilitating subthreshold swings approaching the thermal limit [7, 8]. Flexible SiNW-FETs fabricated on plastic substrates highlight the adaptability of this platform for wearable and implantable applications [8]. Furthermore, research into tunneling and feedback mechanisms broadens their applicability in the realm of ultra-low-power logic circuits [9].

This paper compares GFETs and SiNW-FETs across four dimensions: structural characteristics, electrical performance, biosensing applications, and fabrication challenges. Each section integrates findings from recent peer-reviewed studies to highlight these devices' promise and limitations, thereby guiding their potential role in the future of nanoelectronics and biomedical sensing.

2. Structural characteristics

The structural designs of Graphene Field-Effect Transistors (GFETs) and Silicon Nanowire Field-Effect Transistors (SiNW-FETs) significantly influence their electrical performance, sensing behavior, and fabrication strategies. Each architecture is tailored to exploit the intrinsic advantages of its respective material platform.

2.1. Graphene FET structure

GFETs typically consist of a monolayer or few-layer graphene sheet as the semiconducting channel, positioned between source and drain electrodes, and modulated by a gate electrode through an insulating dielectric. This configuration leverages graphene’s high carrier mobility and tunable work function. In contrast to conventional silicon-based semiconductors, the intrinsic zero bandgap of graphene engenders ambipolar behavior and diminished on/off current ratios, necessitating structural modifications to optimize switching efficiency [1, 2].

Several GFET architectures have emerged to improve gating efficiency and compatibility with biosensing or logic operations. The back-gated GFET uses the silicon substrate as a global gate, separated by a SiO_2 dielectric, offering simplicity but limited scalability. Alternatively, top-gated GFETs place the gate directly above the graphene channel, enabling localized control and better integration with chemical functionalization layers [3, 4]. Unlike traditional silicon semiconductors, graphene's inherent lack of a bandgap results in ambipolar conduction and reduced on/off current ratios, requiring structural engineering to maximize switching performance [4].

Nanoporous graphene channels, a recent innovation, present a route to circumvent the restrictions imposed by pristine graphene's gapless characteristic by introducing bandgap tunability via quantum confinement [5]. Others explore hybrid multilayer structures and dielectric engineering to reduce gate leakage and improve modulation [2].

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2025.GL28724

2.2. Silicon nanowire FET structure

SiNW-FETs adopt a radically different layout compared to planar devices. The one-dimensional silicon nanowire channel, typically with 10–100 nm diameters, connects source and drain regions while surrounded or underlain by a gate dielectric and electrode. The gate-all-around (GAA) architecture, in which the gate completely surrounds the nanowire, is particularly favored due to its enhanced electrostatic control and effective short-channel effect mitigation [10].

This geometry leads to enhanced sensitivity in biosensing and high transconductance in logic circuits. The cylindrical symmetry of the nanowire allows for efficient gating from all directions, unlike the single-sided control in planar FETs. Furthermore, the surface-to-volume ratio is of paramount importance. The exposed surface area of the nanowire functions as a highly sensitive interface, where even minute alterations in surface potential, resulting from biomolecular interactions, can modulate the channel conductance [6, 7].

Flexible implementations of SiNW-FETs on plastic substrates further expand their utility in wearable electronics. These devices sustain high performance even when subjected to bending or stretching, thanks to the intrinsic mechanical flexibility of nanowires and progress in low-temperature manufacturing techniques [8].

3. Electrical properties

The distinct physical structures of GFETs and SiNW-FETs give rise to different electrical performance characteristics, including mobility, gate modulation, and transconductance behavior. These parameters influence their suitability for analogue, digital, and biosensing applications.

3.1. Charge carrier mobility and transport

Graphene exhibits extraordinarily high carrier mobility, exceeding 10,000 $cm^2/V \cdot s$ under ambient conditions and reaching values above 200,000 $cm^2/V \cdot s$ under optimized circumstances [1, 2]. This arises from its two-dimensional honeycomb lattice and massless Dirac fermion behavior, which allows near-ballistic transport. However, pristine graphene lacks a bandgap, producing poor on/off ratios that hinder its application in digital logic [2, 3].

SiNW-FETs, by contrast, offer moderate but tunable carrier mobilities in the 100–500 $cm^2/V \cdot s$ range depending on doping and fabrication [6].Their semiconducting properties facilitate robust gate modulation and yield elevated on/off current ratios, frequently surpassing 10^5. Gate-all-around designs bolster electrostatic integrity, mitigate short-channel effects, and refine subthreshold behavior [7,10]. Furthermore, investigations into tunneling and feedback mechanisms corroborate the potential for leveraging alternative conduction pathways in SiNW-FETs to achieve ultra-low-power consumption [9].

3.2. Gate modulation and output characteristics

GFETs display linear I–V output characteristics due to their gapless nature and ambipolar conduction. The transfer characteristic displays a Dirac point, signifying a transition from p-type to n-type conductivity, which facilitates reconfigurable logic circuits and analog modulation techniques [4]. However, this same feature complicates digital switching.

In contrast, SiNW-FETs demonstrate classical FET-like saturation regions. Their subthreshold slope can approach the theoretical 60 mV/dec limit, supporting low-power applications [7]. In

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2025.GL28724

biosensing applications, the gate bias serves to modulate surface charge interactions at the nanowire interface, resulting in pronounced threshold voltage shifts and heightened sensitivity [6].

3.3. Frequency response and cutoff

Graphene's high carrier velocity also makes GFETs suitable for high-frequency applications. Their cutoff frequency (f_T) and maximum oscillation frequency (f_max) can reach hundreds of GHz. Interestingly, velocity saturation, which is typically limiting, has been shown to enhance f_max under specific biasing conditions [11]. Nonetheless, parasitic capacitance and contact resistance constrain device scaling.

SiNW-FETs are less optimized for RF operation, but their low gate capacitance and scalable design enable moderate-speed signal processing, particularly in sensing and neuromorphic circuits [8].

4. Biosensing & device applications

Graphene Field-Effect Transistors (GFETs) and Silicon Nanowire Field-Effect Transistors (SiNW-FETs) have each demonstrated remarkable capabilities as biosensors due to their high surface sensitivity and tunable electrostatics. Their structural and material characteristics allow direct modulation of channel conductance by biomolecular interactions, enabling real-time, label-free detection.

4.1. GFET applications

GFETs are highly suitable for biochemical detection because their atomically thin channels maximise surface exposure. Gao et al. demonstrated a poly-l-lysine modified GFET capable of detecting breast cancer miRNAs and SARS-CoV-2 RNA with femtomolar sensitivity, illustrating the versatility of surface functionalization for medical diagnostics [3]. Deng et al. advanced solution-gated GFETs, facilitating unamplified, real-time detection of miRNA-21 for prostate cancer diagnostics [4]. These findings confirm GFETs' strong potential in clinical diagnostics.

In addition to biochemical sensing, GFETs have been explored for high-frequency and multifunctional electronics. Mutlu et al. fabricated nanoporous graphene channels that enhanced bandgap tunability, enabling improved sensor selectivity [5]. Feijoo et al. demonstrated that velocity saturation has the potential to augment the maximum oscillation frequency (f_max), thereby underscoring the significance of GFETs in radio frequency circuits [11]. Collectively, these innovations highlight GFETs' flexibility in bridging biosensing and high-speed electronics.

4.2. SiNW-FET applications

SiNW-FETs are equally compelling as biosensors because their quasi-one-dimensional geometry provides a large surface-to-volume ratio. Mu et al. demonstrated their use as label-free potentiometric biosensors for ions, proteins, DNA, and viruses [6]. Li et al. reported enhanced sensitivity, demonstrating that SiNW-FETs could detect biomolecular concentrations at ultralow levels with high signal integrity [7].

The adaptability of SiNW-FETs has also been confirmed in flexible electronics. Zhang et al. fabricated high-performance SiNW-FETs on plastic substrates, maintaining stable operation during mechanical deformation, a key requirement for wearable healthcare monitoring [8]. Zhang et al. further elucidated the SCLC phenomenon within gate-all-around nanowires, thereby contributing to

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2025.GL28724

a deeper understanding of the reliability of these devices when deployed in real-world sensing applications [10].

4.3. Comparative insights

GFETs generally achieve higher raw sensitivity due to their atomically thin channels and outstanding carrier transport properties [1-3]. However, environmental noise and fabrication inconsistencies can powerfully affect their performance. Conversely, SiNW-FETs exhibit enhanced operational robustness and CMOS integration compatibility, rendering them more viable for scalable, sustained biosensing applications [6-8]. GFETs appear better suited for multifunctional sensors and high-frequency applications, while SiNW-FETs are advantageous in robust, low-power, and flexible biosensing platforms.

5. Fabrication & integration challenges

While GFETs and SiNW-FETs offer compelling advantages, their fabrication and integration into practical systems face significant hurdles that limit large-scale deployment.

5.1. GFET fabrication challenges

The production of high-quality graphene remains one of the main bottlenecks. Most GFETs are fabricated using chemical vapor deposition (CVD), which grows graphene on metal substrates such as copper before transferring it onto insulating substrates like SiO_2 [1]. However, the transfer process frequently introduces imperfections, fractures, and contaminants that diminish carrier mobility and device homogeneity [2].

Contact engineering is another challenge: metal–graphene interfaces tend to suffer from high variability in resistance. Even with optimized contacts (e.g., Pd/Au or Ti/Au), device-to-device consistency is difficult to achieve [11]. The integration of dielectrics introduces additional fabrication complexities, as traditional oxides frequently exhibit inadequate adhesion to graphene. This necessitates the implementation of functionalization layers, which can exacerbate hysteresis and leakage current [3]. Solution-gated designs reduce these problems and offer excellent sensitivity [4], though they pose difficulties in packaging and long-term stability.

5.2. SiNW-FET fabrication challenges

SiNW-FETs benefit from their compatibility with conventional silicon technology. Top-down lithography approaches provide precise geometry control but can introduce surface roughness and defects that degrade electrical performance [6, 7]. Contrastingly, bottom-up vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) growth yields high-quality crystalline nanowires, but controlling alignment and placement at scale remains a critical barrier [6].

Emerging approaches explore hybrid methods that combine top-down alignment with bottom-up growth, though they add complexity and cost. Furthermore, maintaining a consistent dopant concentration throughout the nanowire remains a significant hurdle, given that fluctuations substantially impact threshold voltage and transconductance [9].

Flexible electronics introduce further difficulties. Zhang et al. have pioneered low-temperature techniques for the production of SiNW-FETs on flexible substrates, maintaining robust electrical characteristics and indicating significant potential for applications in wearable electronic devices [8].

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2025.GL28724

However, issues of mechanical durability, fatigue under bending, and environmental robustness continue to be obstacles for long-term deployment [10].

5.3. Integration outlook

GFET fabrication hurdles center on large-area material quality, stable dielectric integration, and contact variability. SiNW-FET challenges primarily involve scalable nanowire alignment, defect control, and flexible device stability. Both technologies require advances in materials processing and hybrid integration techniques to transition from proof-of-concept devices to manufacturable platforms.

6. Conclusion

This review compared Graphene Field-Effect Transistors (GFETs) and Silicon Nanowire Field-Effect Transistors (SiNW-FETs) across structural, electrical, biosensing, and fabrication perspectives. GFETs exhibit exceptional charge carrier mobility, ambipolar conduction, and sensitivity at the atomic level, positioning them as compelling candidates for applications in high-frequency electronic devices and biochemical detection systems. However, challenges such as bandgap absence, contact resistance, and reproducibility in large-scale fabrication continue to hinder their integration into mainstream electronics.

SiNW-FETs, by contrast, leverage the maturity of silicon technology and benefit from strong gate control, high on/off ratios, and CMOS compatibility. Their mechanical flexibility and robustness in biochemical environments make them highly suitable for stable, wearable, and implantable biosensors. Still, issues such as large-scale alignment, doping precision, and long-term mechanical durability remain unresolved.

GFETs offer superior sensitivity and frequency response, whereas SiNW-FETs excel in reliability and integration. Hybrid devices merging graphene and silicon nanowire properties could enable scalable nanosystems. Both transistor types are crucial for advancing nanoelectronics and biosensing.

References

[1] Schwierz, F. (2010). Graphene transistors. Nature Nanotechnology, 5(7), 487–496. https: //doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2010.89

[2] Krishna, B. V., Ravi, S., & Prakash, M. D. (2021). Recent developments in graphene based field effect transistors. Materials Today: Proceedings, 45, 1524–1528. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.10.481

[3] Gao, J., et al. (2022). Poly-l-Lysine-Modified Graphene Field-Effect Transistor Biosensors for Ultrasensitive Breast Cancer miRNAs and SARS-CoV-2 RNA Detection. Analytical Chemistry, 94(3), 1626–1636. https: //doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.1c04192

[4] Deng, M., et al. (2022). Unamplified and Real-Time Label-Free miRNA-21 Detection Using Solution-Gated Graphene Transistors in Prostate Cancer Diagnosis. Advanced Functional Materials, 32(7). https: //doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202103798

[5] Mutlu, Z., et al. (2020). Bottom-Up Synthesized Nanoporous Graphene Transistors. Nature Communications, 10. https: //doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17350-w

[6] Mu, Y., et al. (2015). Silicon Nanowire Field-Effect Transistors—A Versatile Class of Potentiometric Nanobiosensors. IEEE Access, 3, 287–302. https: //doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2427378

[7] Li, H., et al. (2023). Application of Silicon Nanowire Field Effect Transistor (SiNW-FET) Biosensor with High Sensitivity. Sensors, 23(15), 6808. https: //doi.org/10.3390/s23156808

[8] Zhang, T., et al. (2025). High-Performance Flexible Silicon Nanowire Field Effect Transistors on Plastic Substrates. Advanced Electronic Materials, 11(5). https: //doi.org/10.1002/aelm.202400615

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2025.GL28724

[9] Kim, Y., et al. (2018). Feedback and tunneling operations of a p+-i-n+ silicon nanowire field-effect transistor. Nanotechnology, 29(43), 435202. https: //doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/aadfd3
[10] Zhang, Y., et al. (2024). Peculiarities of the SCLC Effect in Gate-All-Around Silicon Nanowire Field-Effect Transistor Biosensors. Advanced Electronic Materials, 10(7). https: //doi.org/10.1002/aelm.202300822
[11] Feijoo, P. C., et al. (2020). Does carrier velocity saturation help to enhance fmax in graphene field-effect transistors? Nanoscale Advances, 2(9), 4179–4186. https: //doi.org/10.1039/D0NA00484A