Proceedings of CONF-MCEE 2026 Symposium: Advances in Sustainable Aviation and Aerospace Vehicle Automation
DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.KA28676

Environmental Control and Insulation Solutions for Cabin Door Areas in the Cruise Phase of Commercial Airliners

Yiyang Ding

Nanjing Jinling Highschool Hexi Campus, Nanjing, China
Yiyangding44@gmail.com

Abstract. The cabin environment temperature is a key determinant of passenger comfort. As a critical area for temperature control, the door section features complex structures, making thermal management difficult and costly. During long-haul flights, the temperature near the cabin doors is typically lower than in other areas of the cabin, which can lead to a decrease in passenger comfort. This paper reviews and analyzes relevant literature to summarize the factors influencing door-area temperature, existing insulation and heating solutions, as well as future technological trends and innovative approaches. Besides, it outlines the drawbacks of current mainstream heating solutions, including inefficiency in temperature regulation, high energy consumption, as well as challenges in accommodating different aircraft types and operational scenarios. The results indicate that integrating multiple modern solutions while strengthening management systems provides valuable insights for cabin door design and environmental control.

Keywords: Cabin Door Insulation, Door Structure, Civil Aircraft Cabin Environment, Heat Conduction and Thermal Analysis

1. Introduction

As an integral part of civil aircraft structures, cabin doors are essential components. According to civil aviation regulations and loading requirements, trunk airliners typically have at least four doors on each side of the fuselage for boarding, disembarkation, and emergency evacuation. As the interface between the cabin and the external environment, the door is exposed during flight to the low temperature and low pressure of the high-altitude environment on one side, while facing a pressurized cabin of about 20 °C on the other. Hence, its operating conditions are characterized by a significant temperature and pressure differential. Existing research has mainly focused on the overall thermal environment and environmental control of the cabin, with measurement points usually located in the cabin's central area, far from the doors. Research specific to cabin doors has, in most cases, prioritized airtightness performance. By contrast, studies dedicated to the localized thermal environment and temperature control of the door area remain relatively limited. The level of thermal insulation in door regions is typically lower than in other fuselage sections, while these areas are often situated next to passenger seats or crew workstations. For long-haul intercontinental flights, especially during night flights over polar regions without solar heating, insufficient thermal insulation or inadequate environmental control at the cabin doors could markedly affect the thermal

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.KA28676

comfort of nearby passengers and crew members [1]. This paper aims to explore the environmental features and temperature regulation strategies related to cabin doors. Through literature review and comprehensive analysis of existing solutions, modern integrated approaches are proposed to boost cabin environmental control and passenger comfort, providing valuable insights for future research.

2. Thermal environment characteristics and temperature control requirements of cabin doors

The aircraft cabin is a relatively confined space with high occupant density, and several standards define its temperature requirements. Among these, the ASHRAE standards are seen as authoritative. According to ASHRAE Standard 161-2007, the target operative temperature range in the cabin throughout the flight is 18.3 ℃ to 23.9 ℃. Additionally, the lateral temperature variation within a controlled cabin area should not exceed 4.4 ℃. For vertical temperature distribution, measurements are taken at 100 mm, 610 mm, and 1090 mm above the floor, and the temperature difference among these three measurement points should be less than 2.8 ℃. For passenger area surfaces, the average temperature of the sidewalls and floor near passengers' feet should remain within 5.6°C of the air temperature in the seated zone. Besides, the standard stipulates air velocity requirements, stating that for any area accessible to a seated passenger, airflow velocity must stay under 3.6 m/s. In body areas sensitive to drafts, airflow velocity should be less than 0.3 m/s, with a recommended value of less than 0.20 m/s. The cabin door regions accessible to passengers or crew members during flight likewise need to comply with these standards as far as possible [2].

3. Mechanisms of thermal environment in cabin doors and the impact of insulation performance

3.1. Factors contributing to the complexity of door structure

The cabin doors of modern civil trunk aircraft have highly complex structures and limited internal space. Due to structural limits on insulation material layout, the thermal insulation performance of doors is reduced. For example, on the emergency exit located on the wing of the Boeing 737NG series, a handle/vent panel assembly is mounted at the upper part of the door. The handle is rigidly connected to the vent panel, and when the handle is pulled down, both the vent panel and the handle rotate around the same torque arm. The vent panel is directly connected to the outside atmosphere. Once pulled down, the door moves inward and downward, disengaging from the stop fitting and unlocking. On the handle/vent panel assembly, a flight lock mechanism and a door-closed switch are installed to prevent accidental door operation during flight. Below the flight lock mechanism are the hinge arm and latching mechanism, which secure the door to the fuselage frame. Located above the entire door assembly, a balance system and a hinge-to-fuselage linkage automatically help raise and pivot the door outward once the stop is released [3]. Additionally, the door edges are sealed to the fuselage frame using rubber-fabric vulcanized composite gaskets. The structural complexity of cabin doors thus creates considerable challenges for the integration of insulation materials. For smaller overwing emergency exit doors on narrow-body aircraft, available internal space is highly constrained. Meanwhile, it is crucial to ensure that the internal mechanical components can operate smoothly within the required temperature range, even under conditions of significant impact, partial deformation, or thin ice accumulation [4]. The requirement for smooth mechanical motion inherently prevents complete filling of cavities with insulation. Moreover, interior liners cannot fully seal the door's internal voids, allowing for natural air convection, or even forced convection caused by the air-conditioning system of the aircraft. This leads to pronounced convective heat transfer,

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.KA28676

reduced thermal insulation capability, and decreased overall temperatures in the door area. For wide-body aircraft emergency exit doors and service doors, the larger surface area and additional functional requirements, like the armed and disarmed modes of emergency slides, add to structural complexity, which further constrains the application of insulation materials.

3.2. Factors related to cabin door materials

To ensure structural durability and reliability, the internal movable components and sensors of cabin doors comprise numerous metallic components, including linkages, hinges, and latches. As metals possess notably higher thermal conductivity than insulation materials, these metallic components continuously transfer heat from the cabin to the exterior during flight. Such metallic components are typically referred to as thermal bridges [5]. Thermal bridges cause localized cooling of the door area and diminish overall insulation effectiveness. The thermal short-circuiting phenomenon induced by metal within insulation structures is known as the thermal bridge effect. The cabin door exhibits a pronounced thermal bridge effect. For example, the coaxial connection between the inner and outer door handles involves robust metal linkages that create a thermal short circuit, enabling rapid transfer of cabin heat to the outside. In addition, the sealing performance of the door also affects the local environment. Aircraft door seals are manufactured from rubber–fabric vulcanized composites. The rubber, severing as the main material, provides excellent compression recovery and ensures sufficient contact pressure for sealing. The outer layer of the seal comprises a polyester fabric layer to provide abrasion resistance and minimize friction, while the internal mesh fabric layer bolsters the seal's strength, increasing its tear resistance and rigidity [6]. These seals function under extreme environmental conditions: ramp temperatures on the ground can reach 50 ℃ during summer, while at cruising altitude, ambient air temperatures may fall to -40 ℃. Over time and through repeated use, rubber materials undergo aging and deformation, leading to degradation of rebound performance. In some cases of poor sealing, passengers have reported experiencing cold drafts during flight. Furthermore, due to the fact that the pressurized cabin air pressure is higher than that of the outside environment, local micro-leakage around the doors can occur, which imposes additional load on the air-conditioning system.

3.3. Factors associated with flight phase variations

For civil aircraft cabin doors, environmental control requirements and objectives differ across flight phases. During takeoff, the cabin air-conditioning system is supplied by the Auxiliary Power Unit (APU). Due to the limited supply of conditioned air, local overheating may occur in the cabin or at the doors, potentially causing passenger discomfort. At this stage, the main function of the door is to provide thermal insulation and protect against solar radiation. During climb and descent, external air pressure changes rapidly, whereas the cabin pressurization and depressurization, controlled by the air-conditioning system, occur at a slower rate than the changes in outside pressure. The cabin door's primary function during these phases is to maintain sealing and cabin airtightness. During cruise, the external environment is characterized by low temperature and low pressure, while the cabin maintains higher temperature and pressure. In this context, the cabin door must provide insulation, retain cabin heat, and maintain airtightness. Furthermore, varying flight routes and solar exposure impose additional requirements on the doors. For narrow-body aircraft on short- and medium-haul routes, lower cruising altitudes and shorter flight durations result in relatively modest requirements for door insulation performance. Even if the temperature in the local door area is slightly lower, the effect on passenger comfort remains limited. In contrast, wide-body aircraft

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.KA28676

operating transoceanic routes typically fly at higher cruising altitudes and for longer durations. They may also encounter extended nighttime flights and polar overflight conditions. Due to the larger size and greater number of doors on wide-body aircraft, along with the use of stronger hinges and linkages, local thermal resistance is reduced, making the thermal bridge effect more pronounced. To ensure adequate insulation at the doors, existing studies indicate that installing heating devices in these areas can improve local temperature control and boost passenger comfort [7].

4. Environmental control strategies and optimization for cabin doors in civil aircraft

4.1. Existing environmental control solutions for cabin doors

Currently, two mainstream local environmental control solutions are applied to cabin door areas. The first solution is a Boeing-led gas heating system. For example, in the interior panel next to each door of the B747-8, a duct extends from the air-conditioning supply line, with a cylindrical electric heater installed in the middle of the duct. The air drawn from the air-conditioning system is first heated by the heater inside the duct, then flows downward along the fuselage sidewall to the cabin floor area, and is finally expelled through a wide, flat outlet. Boeing's solution has limited heating capacity, with only one heater and one warm-air outlet per door, which is insufficient to noticeably increase the air temperature. As a result, when doors are wide and the surrounding area is open, the system cannot effectively control the local environment.

The second solution is a heated floor panel (HFP) system developed by Airbus. For example, in the Airbus A330-300, heated floor panels are installed in the floor areas adjacent to passenger and crew doors (from Door 1 to Door 4), all emergency exits, and the aft galley. Floor sections in these areas are pre-designed with openings allowing modules to be embedded and riveted onto floor beams. All heated panels can be manually adjusted via Floor Temperature Setting Panels (FTSPs) located near the right-hand doors or on the aft galley rear wall. The FTSPs feature Built-In Test Equipment (BITE), which can display test statuses like "TEST OK" and show real-time temperature bar graphs. Two Ice Protection Control Units (IPCUs), installed in the forward electronics bay and aft cargo floor, monitor and automatically regulate the power of the heated floor panels to prevent overheating. The Airbus heated panel solution provides higher power output but is installed beneath the carpet, which limits rapid heating performance. In addition, due to its complex self-test and control strategies, the system is relatively heavy, has a higher failure rate, and presents maintenance challenges. Moreover, since heating panels are located only in the lower cabin region, significant vertical temperature differences remain, and cooler conditions persist in the mid- and upper zones of the cabin.

4.2. Technological trends and innovative solutions

4.2.1. Improvements in cabin door materials and structure

In recent years, composite materials have been increasingly applied to cabin door components such as hinges, beams, and reinforcement frames in aircraft like the Airbus A350 series and the Boeing 787 series. Collins Aerospace has further advanced these designs by employing liquid resin infusion technology and 3D printing, using dedicated curing molds to solidify the entire door structure in a single process. This integrates the door skin, reinforcement edges, and structural frames into one unit, decreasing the number of parts and fasteners by at least 50% compared to traditional metallic doors. This approach reduces the overall weight while also enhancing sealing performance. In terms

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.KA28676

of thermal conductivity, aluminum materials typically have a conductivity of about 205 W/m·K, whereas resin-based composites, depending on resin characteristics, can reduce conductivity to the range of 0.1 to 0.4 W/m·K. Therefore, composite cabin doors markedly lower thermal conductivity, mitigate the thermal bridge effect, and enhance the thermal insulation capability of the doors. In addition, Collins Aerospace has upgraded traditional viewing windows to streaming video cameras. The externally embedded cameras feed video directly to interior display screens via cabling. This solution provides a wider field of view while eliminating structural openings, further improving the overall thermal insulation and airtightness of the cabin door.

4.2.2. Localized additions and system optimization

In 2022, H. Kirstein and G. Kias presented a new design for auxiliary insulation components. These insulation parts utilize flexible materials, such as cotton-based fabrics or low-thermal-conductivity composites, to provide effective thermal insulation. Their dimensions are designed to cover the entire door and its gaps. During the design and manufacturing process, raised and recessed contours are pre-shaped, thus enabling the insulation piece to fully envelop and fit over protrusions, recesses, handles, levers, and signage. This method significantly reduces the thermal bridge effect and also protects passengers from unintentionally contacting door handles, slide arming levers, and other similar components during flight. The insulation component adopts a magnetic attachment design, and it can be folded for compact storage. This design permits cabin crew to efficiently install or remove the component during cruise and securely stow it in the overhead compartments adjacent to emergency exits during takeoff and descent phases.

5. Conclusion

At present, environmental control solutions for cabin doors are shifting from enhancing localized environmental regulation to boosting door insulation and sealing. The results indicate that Boeing's existing solutions suffer from limited regulation capability, suboptimal performance, and increased structural weight. Additionally, Airbus's current solution exhibits significant regional temperature variations, high costs, excessive structural weight, and inadequate short-term performance. As such, the study finds that the newly proposed auxiliary insulation component technology is cost-effective and can be applied to all existing aircraft types without altering the airframe structure, though it occupies part of the cabin space. It also requires manual operation by crew members during takeoff and descent, creating a potential risk that negligence or failure to remove the components could hinder emergency evacuation. The comprehensive evaluation demonstrates that Collins Aerospace's composite door solution provides superior performance, though it is not presently suitable for older aircraft models. However, it is currently incompatible with older aircraft types, and its retrofit cost is high. Furthermore, research indicates that its shorter life cycle increases maintenance costs for operators. In essence, the application of auxiliary insulation components to existing aircraft, paired with standard operating procedures to minimize operational risks, while progressively increasing the use of composite material doors during long-term fleet renewal and maintenance represents the most optimal approach to improving the cabin environment around doors.

References

[1] Yin, H., Shen, X., Feng, Z., Long, Z., Sasanapuri, B., & Chen, Q. (2015). Modeling dynamic responses of aircraft environmental control systems by coupling with cabin thermal environment simulations. Build. Simul. 9, 459-468

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.KA28676

[2] American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers. (2007). ASHRAE Standard 161-2007: Air quality within commercial aircraft. Atlanta, GA: ASHRAE. https: //www.ashrae.org/file%20library/technical%20resources/standards%20and%20guidelines/standards%20addenda/161_2007_cdf.pdf
[3] Song, J., & Li, J. (2016). Boeing 737NG aircraft systems. Aviation Industry Press.
[4] Gan, Y., Yao, X., & Liu, W. (2022). Research overview for door tests of civil airplane. Advances in Aeronautical Science and Engineering, 13(5), 28-35, 68.
[5] Mahdavi, A. (1993). Computational frameworks for numerical assessment of steady-state conductive heat transfer through thermal bridges. ASHRAE Transactions, 99(1), 301-307.
[6] Wang, Z. H., Gao, F., & Wu, H. D. (2022). Joint optimization design of aircraft door seals based on Abaqus-Isight. Civil Aircraft Design and Research(2), 7-14.
[7] Peng, Q., Wu, C., Liu, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, H. (2020). Numerical Study of Thermal Comfort for Door Area in Civil Aircraft Cabin. In: Long, S., Dhillon, B.S. (eds) Man-Machine-Environment System Engineering. MMESE 2020. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol 645. Springer, Singapore.