Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Signal Processing and Machine Learning
DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/120/2025.19403

Research on the Application of Swarm Behavior to Artificial Intelligence Systems

Songhao Zhao[1,a,*]

[1]*College of Arts and Sciences, New York University, New York City, 10012, U.S.A.*
a. zsh1804304@gmail.com
**corresponding author*

Abstract: In light of the advancements in artificial intelligence, there is an increasing emphasis on enhancing the adaptive and collaborative capabilities of the system through research. The theory of swarm intelligence provides a new approach to achieving decentralized optimization and collaboration through the simulation of natural phenomena, such as the flight of a flock of birds and the swimming of a school of fish. The particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm, as a typical representative of swarm intelligence, has attracted much attention because of its computational simplicity and fast convergence speed, and has demonstrated unique advantages in solving complex optimization problems. Thus, the paper explores the application of group behavior in artificial intelligence systems and verifies the effectiveness of PSO algorithms in improving system efficiency, robustness and scalability through empirical studies. Based on the phenomenon of cooperative motion in nature, the PSO algorithm provides a decentralized optimization approach that enables multiple individuals to work together to solve complex problems through simple interactions. In this paper, robot populations with PSO algorithm and independent control are compared through simulation experiments, and the results show that the PSO algorithm significantly improves the performance of robots in path planning and goal coordination, and exhibits higher efficiency and robustness. In addition, the paper discusses the potential challenges and improvement directions of the PSO algorithm in practical applications, and emphasizes the importance of algorithm parameter optimization and synergy effect of multi-intelligent body systems. The results provide empirical support for future applications of group intelligence in AI systems and promote the development of the field.

Keywords: Multi-Intelligent Body Systems, Swarm Behavior, Population Intelligence, Particle Swarm Optimization, Artificial Intelligence.

1. Introduction

The swarm behavior observed in nature, such as bird flocking and fish schooling, is characterized by remarkable levels of coordination and adaptability. These phenomena provide valuable insights into swarm intelligence theories used in artificial intelligence (AI) systems. Swarm intelligence attempts to address complex problems by emulating the decentralized coordination and cooperative mechanisms observed in nature. The Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm, a foundational tool for swarm intelligence, is inspired by the social behavior of birds and insects, which achieves global optimization through simulating simple interactions among individuals, eliminating the need

© 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/120/2025.19403

for central control. This paper aims to comprehensively investigate the application of PSO algorithms in AI systems and assess their potential for improving system efficiency, robustness and scalability. The deployment of traditional AI approaches that rely on centralized control frequently gives rise to challenges pertaining to system coordination and adaptability. In contrast, PSO algorithms improve system performance in handling complex tasks through decentralized coordination and adaptive mechanisms. Thus, this paper compares the efficacy and advantages of the swarm intelligence approach in real-world applications by systematically evaluating independently operated robots with PSO-controlled robots in a simulated environment. The results can highlight the potential of PSO algorithms in developing efficient, robust and scalable AI systems, providing theoretical and practical insights for future applications requiring autonomous collaboration in dynamic and uncertain environments.

2. Literature Review

Swarm intelligence, inspired by the collective behavior observed in social organisms like birds, fish, and insects, has become a prominent field of study within artificial intelligence. This field focuses on the decentralized, self-organizing systems where individual agents follow simple rules, leading to the emergence of complex behaviors at the group level. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is one of the most well-known algorithms in this domain, first introduced by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995 [1]. The algorithm is inspired by the social behavior of birds flocking or fish schooling and has been widely used in solving continuous optimization problems. Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of PSO in various applications. For instance, Engelbrecht provided a comprehensive analysis of PSO's adaptability to different optimization problems, showing its robustness in finding optimal solutions in complex search spaces [2]. Khunkitti et al. argued that PSO converges rapidly to an optimal solution due to the fact that it exploits the best experience of the particles in the equations to find the optimal solution [3]. In comparison to other optimization techniques such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), which work with a set of solutions instead of one solution in each iteration and encode the solution rather than the solution itself, and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), which mainly update the pheromone values using previously generated solutions, PSO has gained much attention due to its simplicity and its ability to converge to a global optimum quickly [4,5]. Therefore, it is particularly suitable for real-time and dynamic systems that require fast decision making. Recent advances in PSO have focused on improving its performance and addressing its limitations, such as premature convergence and sensitivity to initial parameters. For example, Engelbrecht et al. proposed a new locally convergent PSO algorithm that improves the convergence properties of the standard PSO by introducing mechanisms to better handle local optima [6]. This development, as well as other modifications, such as the use of inertia weight adjustments and contraction factors, is crucial to maintain diversity within the swarm and prevent the algorithm from falling into local optima.

Based on the revelation of group behavior in nature, this study proposes a key hypothesis that implementing group behavior in AI systems will significantly improve their efficiency, robustness and scalability, and has obvious advantages over traditional AI approaches. To test this hypothesis, this study will explore around how to effectively integrate group intelligence principles into AI systems, evaluate the performance metrics of group intelligence-based systems, and analyze the impact of group behavior on the robustness and scalability of AI systems. By exploring these aspects in depth, the study aims to reveal the practical benefits and potential advantages of group intelligence in AI applications and to promote the development and application of related technologies.

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/120/2025.19403

3. Methodology

3.1. Simulation Design

To test and verify the effectiveness of the group behavior algorithm, this study develops a specialized simulation environment on the MATLAB platform, which aims to simulate the dynamic behavior of a realistic multi-intelligent body system and provide a controlled experimental platform. Two different groups of robots are set up in the simulation environment, where one group of robots is controlled by a traditional independent control strategy and the other group is controlled based on the PSO algorithm. Each robot in the independent control group makes decisions independently based on its own sensor data and predefined rules, without being influenced by the other robots. This approach mimics the way a traditional centralized control system works and is used as a control group. In contrast, the robots in the PSO control group are coordinately controlled using a particle swarm optimization algorithm. The PSO algorithm mimics the mechanisms of group behavior in nature by optimizing the interaction and information sharing between individuals, enabling robots to work together without centralized control. Through comprehensive comparison, this study can evaluate the practical effects of the PSO algorithm in improving the efficiency, coordination, and robustness of robot systems, hence providing strong data support and theoretical basis for the application of group intelligence.

3.2. Modeling and Objective Function

During simulation, mathematical and computational models are utilized to predict the behavior and performance of the swarm systems. The objective function (sphere function) aimed to minimize the distance of robots from a target at the center of the environment. These models, based on mathematical descriptions of robot motion laws and interactions, accurately reflects the complexity of the swarm behavior. To evaluate the robot's path planning and coordination capabilities under group control, the spherical function is chosen as the objective function, with the main goal of minimizing the distance between the robot and the central goal of the environment and measuring the robot's performance in the process of arriving at the goal location. In this way, the effectiveness of population intelligence algorithms can be systematically evaluated and provide a quantitative basis for comparing different control strategies. The application of these models and objective functions ensures the accuracy and reliability of the simulation results and provides a solid basis for validating the effectiveness of the algorithm.

3.3. Experimental Design and Data Collection

The experiment is designed to run 5 robots in a 100x100 two-dimensional environment, simulating 200 steps, aiming to compare the performance difference between a PSO-based swarm intelligence system and a traditional independent control system. In the experiments, the robots are divided into two groups, one controlled by a swarm using the PSO algorithm and the other by an independent control strategy. Each group of robots is experimented under the same environmental conditions to ensure the fairness and reliability of the results. The comparison of the robots' behavior under these two control strategies aims to evaluate the advantages of the swarm intelligence algorithm in terms of path planning, coordination and goal achievement accuracy. At the end of the simulation, the final position of each robot is recorded and its distance from the goal position is compared to assess the effectiveness of the control strategies. The data collection focused on both the accuracy of the robot in reaching the target position and the overall efficiency of the system. Through systematic experimental design and data analysis, this study was able to gain a detailed understanding of the

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/120/2025.19403

performance of the PSO algorithm in swarm control and compare it with traditional control methods to provide empirical support for the optimization and application of the algorithm.

3.4. Data Collection and Analysis

During the experiment, the final positions of the robots are recorded at the end of the simulation. The performance of the robots under the PSO algorithm and independent control strategies is then fully evaluated. The data is collected primarily to ascertain the degree of accuracy with which each robot reached the target position and the efficiency with which the target was achieved within the specified simulation steps. For a comprehensive analysis, the robot's path planning ability and goal attainment speed under different control strategies were also evaluated. Furthermore, the resilience of the system was examined to ascertain the stability and adaptability of the robot in the presence of environmental alterations, initial condition fluctuations, and dynamic variables. A comprehensive analysis of the data revealed the effectiveness of the PSO algorithm for practical applications in swarm control. The algorithm was found to enhance path planning accuracy, system efficiency, and overall robustness. These analyses provide substantial empirical evidence to support further optimization of the algorithm and practical applications, which facilitates a comprehensive understanding of the actual performance and potential avenues for enhancement of the PSO algorithm in complex environments.

4. Results

4.1. The Effect of Robot Control Strategy on Performance

Differences in target localization and coordination are evident in the comparison of robot performance under independent and PSO control. Under independent control, robots move according to randomly assigned goals and switches goals as it approaches them. While this type of control can perform basic path planning and goal arrival tasks, the lack of effective coordination results in independently controlled robots in a multi-robot system wandering around the goal and failing to fully utilize the potential for collaboration between multiple robots, thus reducing overall efficiency. In contrast, the PSO-controlled robots move by minimizing the distance to the global goal (the center of the environment), adjusting their speeds using both the individual optimal position and the global optimal position to achieve a higher level of coordination and efficiency. In the experiments, thees robots demonstrated more consistent and precise target alignment, with the final positions of all robots centered on the same coordinate points, showing a significant coordination advantage. Table 1 shows the final positions of the robots under the two control strategies:

Table 1: Comparison of Final Positions under Robot Control Strategies

Robot Number	Independent Control Final Position	PSO Control Final Position
1	[33.9014, 41.4807]	[49.5410, 49.7156]
2	[42.9147, 78.7262]	[49.5410, 49.7156]
3	[93.8563, 57.0342]	[49.5410, 49.7156]
4	[62.5317, 46.5830]	[49.5410, 49.7156]
5	[56.7830, 71.8350]	[49.5410, 49.7156]

From the table, it can be seen that the PSO-controlled robots are significantly more aligned on the target positions compared to the independently controlled robots, which exhibit poorer coordination and positional dispersion. This indicates that the PSO control strategy has higher goal alignment and overall efficiency in multi-robot systems.

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/120/2025.19403

4.2. Performance Metrics Analysis

The PSO algorithm has significant advantages over independent control in terms of robot control performance, especially in terms of efficiency, scalability and robustness. In terms of efficiency, the PSO algorithm's high efficiency is reflected in the fact that it can accurately find and reach the target position in only 1.5 seconds, whereas the independently controlled robot can only move around the target position in 10 seconds and cannot reach the target accurately, which highlights PSO's excellent ability to improve computational efficiency. In terms of scalability, the PSO algorithm performs well in coordinating multiple robots without centralized control. In the experiments, though each robot has an independent moving direction, all the robots can eventually converge to the target point accurately, which demonstrates that the PSO algorithm is highly capable of coordinating in a multi-robot system. And in terms of robustness, the PSO algorithm shows great stability and adaptability. Whether faced with random initial positions or velocity variations, the PSO-controlled group maintains efficient goal alignment and coordination, and consistently outperforms the independent control group even under different experimental parameter settings. This stability and adaptability proves the reliability of the PSO algorithm under various dynamic conditions.

5. Discussion

The results validate the hypothesis that swarm behavior can improve the efficiency, robustness and scalability of AI systems. In the experiments, PSO-controlled robots greatly outperform independently controlled robots in terms of goal coordination, showing the potential benefits of the PSO algorithm in multi-robot systems [7,8]. PSO-controlled robots are able to align targets more accurately and achieve goal localization in a shorter period of time, showing that the group behavior is effective in improving the overall performance of the system [9]. These results highlight the significant potential of PSO algorithms to improve AI systems, especially in tasks that require high levels of coordination and adaptability.

Despite the proven advantages of PSO in practical applications, there are still many aspects that need to be further explored and improved. Future research should focus on several key areas to further enhance the effectiveness and application scope of group behavior algorithms. Parameter optimization is an important direction, which requires fine-tuning of various parameters in the PSO algorithm, such as inertia weights, cognitive and social components, which will help improve the performance of the algorithm in different tasks and application scenarios so that it can be better adapted to specific needs. Besides, the introduction of advanced group modeling is an important direction for future research. Based on the existing PSO algorithm, more group behaviour models can be explored by combining other group intelligence principles like Salp Swarm Algorithm (SSA), Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA), Lion Optimization Algorithm (LOA), and so on [10]. These improvements are expected to further enhance the functionality and applicability of the algorithm and expand its potential for application in more complex and variable environments.

6. Conclusion

The investigation into PSO as a technique for enhancing artificial intelligence systems underscores the significant advances that swarm intelligence can bring to autonomous system operations. Leveraging principles of decentralized coordination and cooperative behavior observed in natural systems, PSO has demonstrated considerable improvements in performance metrics such as efficiency, robustness, and scalability compared to traditional AI methodologies. This research provides empirical evidence that PSO control systems are particularly effective in complex and changing environments where adaptability and coordination are critical. And the results confirm the effectiveness of swarm-based AI approaches, emphasizing their potential in applications that require

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/120/2025.19403

complex multi-agent collaboration. However, there are limitations to this research. The present research is limited in scope in that it considers only specific environments and conditions, and thus may not be fully representative of the variety of situations encountered in real-world applications. Future research should address these limitations by expanding the experimental framework to include a wider variety of scenarios and conditions. In addition, investigating hybrid approaches that combine PSO with other optimization techniques can further improve the adaptability and efficiency of swarm-based AI systems.

References

[1] *Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R. (1995) Particle swarm optimization. Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks, 1942-1948.*

[2] *Engelbrecht, A. P. (2005) Fundamentals of Computational Swarm Intelligence. John Wiley and Sons.*

[3] *Khunkitti, S., Siritaratiwat, A., Premrudeepreechacharn, S., Chatthaworn, R. and Watson, N.R. (2018) A hybrid DA-pso optimization algorithm for Multiobjective Optimal Power Flow Problems. Energies, 11(9): 2270.*

[4] *Deb, K. (1998) Genetic algorithm in search and optimization: The technique and applications. Indian Academy of Sciences.*

[5] *Dorigo, M. and Blum, C. (2005) Ant Colony Optimization Theory: A survey. Theoretical Computer Science. 344(2-3): 243-278.*

[6] *Bergh, F.V. and Engelbrecht, A.P. (2002) A new locally convergent particle swarm optimiser. IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 6: 3.*

[7] *Cheng, Z., et al. (2014) Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm and Its Application in Robotics. Ordnance Industry Automation. 33.*

[8] *Koessler, E. and Almomani, A. (2021) Hybrid particle swarm optimization and pattern search algorithm. Optim Eng 22, 1539-1555.*

[9] *Luo, Y.Y. and Peng, X.Y. (2020) Global path planning for four-wheeled mobile robots based on improved PSO. Computer Simulation, 37(7): 7.*

[10] *Gad, A.G. (2022) Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm and Its Applications: A Systematic Review. Arch Computat Methods Eng, 29: 2531-2561.*